



PASA GUIDANCE

Produced in partnership with:



PASA Experts for Data

Data

February 2019

Data Guidance

| Section | Content | Page |
|------------|---|------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | Data quality dimensions | 4 |
| 3 | Data requirements for managing risk and meeting compliance requirements | 9 |
| 4 | Impact assessment | 30 |
| | | |
| Appendix A | Case Study | 31 |

Acknowledgments

PASA is grateful to the authors of the Guidance and Members of the PASA Data Working Group and their employers.

Geraldine Brassett (Chair) Capita Employee Solutions

Jo Franklin LCP LLP

Andy Gibbons Coal Pension Trustees Ltd

Nathan Jones ITM Limited

Rebecca Morgan ITM Limited

David Rich Accurate Data Services Ltd

1. Introduction

The Pensions Regulator (TPR), in their guide to preparing a data improvement plan, says administrators, trustees, employers and providers should:

- understand their data
- be clear on what needs improving
- document what they will achieve by cleansing data
- have action plans in place to improve their data
- evaluate that expected benefits are being achieved

Being clear on objectives for any data cleanse activity, including the impact on member outcomes, the expected return on the time and budget invested, and whether expected benefits are being realised, are important elements of the plan. Understanding and articulating the objectives changes a cost to an investment and removes the focus from individual data items and instead helps to answer questions such as 'where is poor data impacting on member service', 'what is stopping me achieving a specific outcome', 'how do I improve quality or deliver my service more cost effectively' and so on.

There can still be good reasons why there is a need to focus on individual data items and the forthcoming introduction of the Pensions Dashboard is a good example of where this will be the case. Cleansing data for a specific purpose is still likely to contribute to achieving multiple objectives and it is important to recognise these opportunities and the potential benefits.

PASA has prepared this guidance to help trustees, providers, administrators and employers review the quality of their data and, where necessary, take action to ensure their data is meeting the needs of all stakeholders.

Having good data is an important aspect of the governance and risk management of any pension arrangement, but the planned implementation of pensions dashboard during 2019/2020 and, for some schemes, the need to implement GMP equalisation (and potentially conversion) highlights the importance of understanding and addressing data issues both in terms of completeness and accuracy now.

Format of the Guidance

The guidance is divided into three sections as follows;

• Section 1 - Assessment of data quality dimensions

This section suggests a number of areas for you to consider. For the purposes of this guidance these are called 'data quality dimensions'. The list is not exhaustive; there may well be requirements specific to your scheme, but this is designed to provide a useful starting point in terms of discussions between the administrator, trustees and the employer.

The section also details an approach you might take to assessing the quality of your data and highlighting which particular data items might be a barrier to you achieving your objectives. To help you, the guidance sets out some of the reasons why good data is important so you can ask your administrator whether data issues are preventing you achieving good outcomes in any of these areas. It is important to ask questions about aspects of your service such as compliance, the usability of data and the impact on member servicing and engagement.

Section 2 - Managing risk and meeting compliance

This section focuses in more detail on some of the data items needed to ensure you are administering your arrangement in a way that helps to manage risk and meet compliance requirements. Investing time in improving the quality of data items may also contribute to future-proofing the scheme, eg in readiness for pensions dashboard. It is quite likely you have already reviewed the quality of some of these data items but ensuring completeness and accuracy is vital so there is still value in undertaking a comprehensive review to maximise the confidence of all stakeholders.

Trustees should also focus on whether there are data issues exposing the scheme to unnecessary levels of risk, for example missing data items that are either preventing calculation automation or from already automated routines working for some members.

As a minimum, TPR expects both common and scheme specific data items are reviewed annually and action plans are put in place to improve both completeness and accuracy of data where necessary.

To help you in your assessment, as well as listing the most commonly used data items, we have provided information on:

- Some common problems
- How you might identify these
- Some thoughts and ideas about cost effective data cleansing solutions
- How this will help and potentially create new opportunities to improve or enhance the administration service

The examples and suggestions provided are not intended to be exhaustive or prescriptive; you and your administrator will know your own data, systems and what is achievable through automated solutions, the use of external suppliers or, where necessary, file reviews. You and your administrator will also be best placed to identify those data items such as special guarantees and special benefit promises specific only to your scheme.

• Section 3 - Impact assessment

This section is based on the data quality dimensions set out in section 1 and provides an impact assessment template which you can ask your administrator to complete, either independently or in discussion with you, so you have visibility of the data items that impact on the day to day running of your pension arrangement and/or the ability for you to achieve your strategic objectives and why they are important. You may wish to take this a stage further and consider, for example, the position in relation to your valuation for a DB scheme, ability to undertake de-risking activity or for compliance with TPR's Code of Practice 13 Governance and Administration of Occupational Trust Based Schemes Providing Money Purchase Benefits (DC Code). When completing this you should ensure you have captured any other objectives or data cleanse requirements specific to your arrangement.

Case studies

As this guidance is designed to provide practical help, the appendix to this document contains a case study. This is intended to help in the planning and prioritising of data cleanse projects and to provide ideas and generate discussions about the process to be followed. It is not designed to be prescriptive as the needs of all schemes will be different. Further case studies will be added as this guidance evolves based on regulatory requirements, market best practice and feedback.

About this guidance

This guidance has been produced by PASA and is not designed to be prescriptive or reflect the circumstances of individual schemes.

2. Data quality dimensions

The introduction to this guidance sets out the importance of setting objectives and understanding the benefits to be achieved or return on investment (ROI) for undertaking data cleanse activity.

The ROI may or may not be financial. For example there may be greater cost certainty in terms of liabilities, or a more attractive price for buy out, but it is equally possible the outcome would be improved member servicing, ability to trace and pay benefits to more members, provision of a greater level of self-service functionality, and so on.

Understanding that ROI helps set priorities and provides an opportunity to ensure the outcomes are evaluated and realised.

To help with this, set out below is a list of possible objectives designed to help get you started in terms of thinking about outcomes together with some suggestions as to why these might be important. The primary focus should be on good member outcomes - members receiving timely and accurate information to make informed decisions and to provide greater certainty that the right benefit, will be paid to the right member, at the right time. Members need to have absolute confidence the data held is correct.

Compliance

Compliance is an important aspect of running any pension arrangement. As mentioned previously there are a number of compliance issues trustees and employers need to think about currently, as well as having an eye on the future, particularly the introduction of the pension dashboard. It is also necessary to measure common and scheme-specific (formerly known as conditional) data as required by TPR and put in place action plans to improve data where there are known issues impacting on good member outcomes.

For DC schemes, the principles are broadly the same but with the additional requirement to comply with the DC code.

TPR expects trustees of all schemes to meet certain standards relating to specific data items. This covers all scheme designs such as defined benefit and defined contribution and applies equally to trust and contract based schemes.

Member engagement

In this area the important question to ask is 'Does your data support the scheme's member engagement objectives'?

Considerations include:

- What does the Trustee want or need to communicate to various sections of their population?
- Is there a desire to increase the use of online functionality and potentially move to a position where the primary method of communicating is through e-comms?
- What are the contact preferences of members?
- Does your data support providing members with the level of information they need to make the right choices? As an example, is your data good enough to provide members requesting retirement figures with a cash equivalent transfer values (CETV) figure or, as a consequence of data issues, does this mean it would be a manual process and therefore not cost effective to achieve?
- Can I use data to understand the effectivness of my communication strategy?
- Do we have current, reliable address details to enable us to contact members?
- It is a proven fact people are less likely to change their email address than postal address. Are email addresses on the database and, if not, are there plans to obtain these? If the scheme is open to new members, can these form part of the interface providing new joiner data?

Generally for communications to be engaging and have credibility they need to contain information that is specific to that member and the information needs to be correct.

Usability

It is important to understand if there are aspects of your data creating an actual or potential risk to the correct calculation or payment of member benefits, or which may result in not paying the right benefit to the right member at the right time.

Data cleanse activity has the potential to increase automation levels across the scheme improving member service, administration efficiency and support improved management of risk.

Even if you are confident you have all the correct data, is it held in a way that means your provider or administrator can use this efficiently and effectively to minimise risk and provide the best level of servicing? For example, if there is a reliance on checking paper files or making adjustments to data prior to completing a calculation whether it is automated or manual it is highly likely the time required will be longer and this must introduce an element of delay. Until something actually goes wrong, often issues of this type are invisible to trustees or employers.

Delays and errors in the provision of information to members can be indicative of wider data problems and should be investigated, and findings and subsequent actions should be documented.

The efficiency of administration is becoming increasingly important. Pension freedoms have resulted in members routinely requesting CETV when they reach retirement. Missing or poor quality data, as outlined above, impacts on the ability to provide CETV which can lead to administration back-logs, a sub-optimal member experience and the potential loss of opportunity for schemes looking to manage liabilities.

Finally there are bulk exercises to be managed. For many schemes, dealing with GMP reconciliation and rectification as well as equalisation (and potentially conversion) will be necessary. Data will likely need to be reviewed for a large number of members to enable this work to be completed in a cost effective way.

Governance

TPR expects all trustee boards to have a risk register and administrative issues including record-keeping should feature as part of the register. TPR also expects administration to form a substantive part of the agenda for trustee or trustee sub-committee meetings.

Aspects of risk needing to be reviewed include:

- Financial risk, such as the risk of fraud
- The extent to which poor data leads to the need for assumptions in valuations
- The risk of incorrect benefits being paid and;
- The reputational damage if inaccurate benefits are paid leading to member complaints.

Some of these risks are relatively easy to measure and some examples are set out below:

- Are there members who have passed their Normal Retirement Age (NRA) who have not taken their benefits and, if so, why is this the case?
- When was the deferred member population last mortality screened?
- Are there members over age 75 and what do scheme rules say about members in this position?
- Do you have a late retirement rule for deferred members and if you do, are those members who have benefits in the scheme able to defer beyond a specific age e.g. age 75? HMRC requires a Benefit Crystallisation Event (BCE) test to be undertaken no later than age 75, sometimes on the assumption the member has no Lifetime Allowance (LTA) left, and any LTA charge due is paid promptly after the BCE date. Delays caused by an inability to contact the member, or poor benefit data, could lead to late payment penalties being levied by HMRC and adverse tax consequences for the member and scheme.

Strategic importance

Many of the issues above relate to the 'here and now' but increasingly trustee objectives are strategic in nature and related to the future of the scheme. Part of the reason for this guidance is to help schemes plan, and each arrangement will have its own long term objectives.

TPR expects trustee boards to take a longer term view in relation to the strategic goals of the scheme and this includes the need to improve member outcomes and the impact of record keeping and administration on other scheme objectives.

For DB schemes these plans may well be linked to liability management initiatives. The quality of data can represent an enabler or a barrier in this situation. These exercises will include buy in or buy out but equally may be exercises on the journey to the 'end game' so, for example, bulk trivial commutation exercises or provision of CETV or enhanced CETV figures, or a Pension Increase Exchange (PIE) either individually on retirement or as a bulk exercise.

For DC schemes the strategy may be aligned to member engagement and investment choices, as well as management of the deferred population and reduction in costs.

3. Data requirements for managing risk and meeting compliance requirements

This section covers the data items that a typical scheme is likely to require to administer pension benefits. The focus needs to be on both completeness and accuracy of data.

Data items that apply to all schemes/arrangements

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Unique identifier | A unique reference number is important as it identifies an individual's pension benefits within the scheme. For individuals with multiple member records, for example corresponding to different periods of service or in cases where a person is both a scheme member and in receipt of a dependant pension, the reference number will identify the particular pension record associated with that member. | recorded on the system following, for example, an acquisition. These may duplicate identifiers already present on the system. | Assign a suffix to duplicate reference numbers to ensure that each reference number is unique. Assign a new reference number to plug gaps. | These identifiers tend to be system generated however it is good practice to run a report on an annual basis to check for duplicate numbers and address any issues that this highlights either by individual updates or process changes. |
| | When contacting the scheme the member can quote the reference number to enable the correct record to be located. | | | |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | Current surnames and previous | Names changes due to | Spouse tracing can link pre and | Administration guidance |
| | surnames. | marriage/divorce. Some names can be transposed | post marriage name changes. | should be prepared to ensure consistent |
| | When contacting the scheme these are often used as part of | e.g. Martin Allen or Allen Martin and difficult to spot. | Automated checks can be applied to identify potentially | approach adopted. |
| Surnama | the verification process. | | misspelt names. | It is good practice to |
| Surname | | Letters can be transposed in name. | | prepare a report that should be run at least once |
| | | name. | | a year that is designed to |
| | | Female members may keep their maiden name when they | | highlight common problems. |
| | | marry and change their legal | | |
| | All forenames | name to that of their spouses. Multiple name variations for | Automated checks can be | Administration guidance |
| | Att forenames | example James, Jim, Jimmy. | applied to identify common | to ensured consistent |
| | When contacting the scheme | example sames, sim, simily. | variants. | approach adopted. |
| | this is often used as part of the | Initials for middle names. | | |
| Forename(s) | verification process. | | | It is good practice to |
| ` ' | | Initial only for forename. | | prepare a report that |
| | | Change of name as a result of | | should be run at least once a year that is designed to |
| | | change of gender. | | highlight common |
| | | . | | problems. |
| | When contacting the scheme | Some data has made up NINOs. | Check all NINOs are in standard | The checks outlined in the |
| | the member can quote their NINO so that it can be used as | Where the NINO is unknown a | format of two prefix letters, six numbers and one suffix letter | column setting out possible data cleanse |
| | part of the verification process. | temporary NINO may have been | e.g. AB123456C. | solutions should be |
| National Insurance Number | part of the verification process. | used with prefix of TN then | e.g. AD123430C. | completed at least |
| (NINO) | Invalid or incorrect NINOs may | members six digit date of birth | Only certain combinations of | annually to ensure this |
| | result in pensioners paying the | and sex, e.g. TN123456M. | prefix letters are permitted. | data adheres to TPR |
| | wrong amount of income tax or | | Ensure these are valid | guidance on record |
| | be rejected by HMRC. | A child in receipt of child's pension may not be allocated a | combinations. | keeping. |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|---------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | NINO and either the member's or a temporary NINO is used. | NINO numbers follow certain patterns based on how old they are. The initial 2 letters can be | |
| | | Final letter is excluded. | a good indicator of a potential problem with a NINO. e.g. a 50 | |
| | | NINOs do get recycled from time to time. | year old will not have a NINO starting with PS | |
| | Determines the member's age and hence when benefits come into payment. | Can be missing or made up. Where made up they could either be totally random, which is very difficult to spot. | Screening for regular occurrences of the same unusual DOB e.g. 01/01/1910. Screening for any where the | Date can be validated as part of regular member contact and in particular when the member takes |
| | Also required to value the member's benefits e.g transfer values, early/late retirement | They could all follow the same made up format for example 01/01/1910. | month value is >12. When performing match tracing consider matches where the | their benefits. The checks outlined in the column setting out |
| Date of Birth | factors, actuarial calculations. Date of Birth can also be used as an identified/verifier when combined with other data items. | Month and Day can be transposed and depending on the day (being under 13) can be difficult to spot. Digits can be transposed in day, | month and day could be transposed. Consider the uniqueness of the name and address when weighting a close but not correct DOB match. | possible data cleanse solutions should be completed at least annually to ensure this data adheres to TPR guidance on record keeping. |
| | | month or year and difficult to spot. | | |
| | Determines GMP pension age and potentially scheme retirement age where these differ prior to equalisation. | Administration systems may prevent updates to a member's sex e.g. following a member changing their legal sex. | Validate sex against title and forenames for consistency. | The checks outlined in the column setting out possible data cleanse solutions should be |
| Sex | • | 3 | | completed at least annually to ensure this data adheres to TPR guidance on record keeping. |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|---------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | To prevent personal data being sent to the wrong person the address needs to be current. | Missing addresses. Out of date addresses. | Data should be Postcode Address File (PAF) cleansed prior to other tracing activity. | Consider regular address screening to ensure addresses are accurate |
| | | Incorrectly formatted address, | Even where the address held is very old it is possible to trace | particularly for deferred pensioners and |
| Home address | | for example abbreviations of place names. | subjects through multiple address changes to reach the current address. | incorporated into mortality screening. |
| | | | Current addresses can be obtained where there is no last known address, from just a name and date of birth or even just a general guide to age. | Royal Mail provide guidance for format of UK mailing addresses which administrators should follow when updating addresses. |
| | Post codes are an important | Post codes do change over | Postcode Address File PAF | |
| Postcode (UK) | data item in contacting members but can also be used in assessing demographics, for example, as part of de-risking initiatives. | time. | cleanse data before any other processing. | |
| | | There is no single international address database. | International tracing is a very specialised activity with data | Royal Mail provide guidance of the |
| | | Country's names abbreviated or | sources and methodology varying from country to | appropriate wording to use. |
| Country (if not UK) | | misspelt. | country. | use. |
| | | Country should always be in English - for example. | | |
| | | Eire should be Republic of Ireland. | | |

| Data Ham | Wheeler their immediately | Some common problems and | Possible data cleanse | 0 |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Data Item | Why is this important? | how to spot them | solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
| Area/ZIP code (if not UK) | This enables correspondence to be delivered promptly. | As these vary from country to country they are difficult to verify. In general the ZIP code will be before the Country. | The format of overseas addresses can be reviewed to ensure consistent format, e.g. ZIP code in separate line. | Administration guidance to verify appropriate format. |
| Membership status | Membership status can either be recorded as a data item or it can be derived from other data | A clear and consistent understanding of each status is required. For instance should | Regular auditing of the membership status compared with other data fields will | Membership status changes as members leave employment and/or the |
| Membership status indicates | items, for instance a member | an employee whose DB benefits | identify members whose status | pension scheme, take |
| whether the individual is for | who has retired but who has neither died, transferred-out | have ceased accrual but where a salary link to benefits is | required review and cleansing. | retirement and pass away. The membership status |
| example | nor otherwise relinquished | maintained be recorded as an | Examples of these data fields | should be kept up to date |
| Active - a current | their benefits would be a | active member? Would this | include the presence of leaver | in order to reflect the |
| employee contributing (or | pensioner. | change is they were also contributing to a separate | details, retirement details, refund details, transfer-out | member's current position. This is best |
| having contributions made | Membership status is key for | occupational DC scheme? | details and any record of the | achieved through |
| on their behalf) to the | database reporting. For | Whoma manabarahin atatus is | member's death. | automated database |
| pension scheme | instance a report on contribution levels for active | Where membership status is recorded as a data item then | | updates as part of member event processing. |
| Deferred - a member who | members can only be achieved | care needs to be taken to | | , , |
| has either left | if it is possible to identify which members are in active service. | ensure that membership status is consistent with key dates and | | |
| employment or ceased to | members are in active service. | other data sets and with the | | |
| be an active member | Membership status and changes | Last Status Event data item. | | |
| whilst remaining in | to membership status are a key | | | |
| employment, with an | indicator of a scheme's maturity. Membership status | | | |
| entitlement to a deferred | can also be used to plan for | | | |
| pension from the scheme | administration workloads and administration costs. | | | |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Pensioner - a member currently receiving a pension from the scheme Dependant pensioner - an individual currently receiving benefits | | | | |
| following the death of a member | | | | |
| Last status event - the event that caused the most recent change to membership status. | This is particularly relevant to no liability members as it records whether the member took a refund, transferred their benefits, commuted benefits to cash or died. It could also be | The main issue is last status events that are inconsistent with the membership status or are inconsistent with other data items. | Inconsistent last status events can be identified through a data audit. Individual reviews of the cases cited will identify where changes are needed. | Last status event should be updated whenever there is a change to the membership status. |
| For example for Deferred members last status events might include redundancy, resigned, opted-out and scheme closure. | used to identify members made redundant (which might have a bearing on benefit entitlement) and ill-health retirements. | | | |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|----------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Date joined employer | This can be relevant for calculating maximum benefits under the old Inland Revenue maximum benefit regime, and depending on scheme rules, determining benefits an individual is entitled to under the scheme. | For members employed by organisations that were acquired by the employer then the date started employment may record the date of acquisition rather than their original date of employment. | For recent leavers it may be possible to confirm the correct date the member joined the employer from the HR or payroll record. Failing this it will be necessary to review the member file to confirm their employment history. | The extent to which it may be necessary to cleanse and maintain this data item may be impacted by the requirements of pension dashboard and so should be kept under review. |
| | Some schemes may record both Date Joined Scheme (DJS) and Date Pensionable Service Commenced (DPSC). Where both are present then schemes need to understand which of these corresponds to the start of accrual. | Schemes should have a consistent approach to the recording of service dates. If one group of acquired members use DPSC for the date accrual started and DJS for the date of acquisition then this same approach should be used for all members. | For contracted-out pension scheme membership it may have been possible to validate pensionable service dates against HMRC records as part of work carried out on GMP reconciliations. | Date joined scheme would be set when the member was first admitted to the pension scheme. This might be through an interface from the employer's HR or payroll system or from the mapping of data for |
| Date joined scheme | For instance, for members in acquired sections, one of these dates might be the date of acquisition or for members with re-instated benefits then one of these dates might be the date of re-instatement. In both these scenarios the earlier date will be the correct Membership Start Date. | | It may also be possible to for pension scheme membership to be validated against pension contribution records. If not, it may be necessary to review member files to fill any gaps in the scheme membership and employment histories. | acquired members. |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|-----------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| | Another scenario might be where a member initially joined for life insurance purposes only and then later started to accrue pension benefits. In this scenario the later date would be the correct Membership Start Date. | | | |
| Date of Leaving | Determines the number of years' of pensionable service an individual has in the scheme and hence generally determines the pension to which the member is entitled. | Where members have retired from active service, date of leaving may not have been populated. For members who did not return to employment following maternity leave or unpaid leave then care should be taken as to whether membership ceased when non-pensionable leave started or when it was confirmed that they would not return to employment. | As above | Date of leaving would be set when the member ceases accrual. This would most likely be through an interface from the employer's HR or payroll system or may also arise through a database update should, for instance, there be a bulk transfer to another scheme. |
| | | Date of leaving may be missing for members who left service prior to computerised records. | | |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Scheme section | A code or flag that records which section of the scheme the member is in. From this code the basis for calculating pension contributions can be determined as well as the basis for determining pension scheme benefits. Scheme section may be recorded as a history, reflecting changes to a member's benefits (such as a change from final salary benefits to CARE benefits). | The scheme code may be missing or inconsistent with other data items such as service dates or the pension elements. | A data audit could identify members whose contributions and/or benefits are inconsistent with the scheme section code. Individual reviews of these cases would be required to cleanse the data. For active members a comparison of scheme section with the records held by HR and payroll might also identify cases that require review. | The scheme section should only be updated when their pension benefits have changed. Schemes with flexible benefits allowing members to adjust contribution rates and benefit accrual may require annual updates to the scheme section. |
| Contributions history | A record of contributions (employee, employer and AVCs) is required for processing refunds of contributions, and for DB schemes is commonly refunded on death after leaving but before retirement. It can also be used to validate a member's service period and for some schemes may be required for the calculation benefit underpins. | Missing contributions can be identified by comparing service dates and temporary absence records with the contributions history. It should also be possible to validate pension contributions against a member's scheme section history and salary history. | Recent pension contributions can be cleansed using payroll records. Pension contribution history is a record of contributions actually paid at a given point in time. It follows that recreating a pension contribution history using the scheme section record and salary history to fill gaps and create an idealized contribution history may be contrary to this goal. | An automated interface between payroll and the pensions administration system should be used to update the contributions history. |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | | More recent contributions can be validated against payroll records. | | |
| Salary and earnings history | Salary and earnings history are used to calculate pension benefits for DB members. Life cover benefits and the premiums for these would also be derived from the salary history. | Common problems include duplicate salary entries, missing salary entries and salaries recorded under the wrong heading (for example pensionable salary recorded under basic salary). | Missing salaries and earnings records from recent years can be cleansed using payroll records. | An automated interface between payroll/HR and the pensions administration system should be used to update the salary and earnings histories. |
| Date of Retirement | The date on which the member's pension benefits came into payment. This date may be used to determine whether a pro rata should be applied to pension increases and is also a key data item when auditing pension benefits. | Pension freedoms have resulted in some members taking a succession of crystalisation events. For such members schemes should define what constitutes the member's date of retirement. Some schemes do not permit deferred members to postpone retirement after NRD. For such cases date of retirement should be no later than NRD. | For recent retirements date of retirement could be validated against pensioner payroll records. For older retirements checks could be done to ensure the date of retirement is consistent with date of leaving and other scheme dates. | Date of retirement would be set when the member's retirement is processed and would be set through the data updates following retirement processing. |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|---------------|---|---|---|--|
| Date of Death | The date on which the member/dependant died. This date will be used to determine the date of commencement of any spouse's pension and how that impacts on future pension increases. Even in cases where no further benefits are due, data relating to past death cases can be important in de-risking activity. Knowing the date of death is also important in assessing whether there has been any overpayment of pension and therefore a requirement to reclaim. | In the majority of cases, the date of death will initially form part of the notification and then verified by receipt of the death certificate. Sometimes however the administrator becomes aware of the death through mortality screening or a certificates of existence exercise. These types of cases need to be managed until a confirmed date of death is established. | Incorrect dates of death are actually very hard to identify. The checks to undertake would be very similar to those required for date of birth which would involve looking for dates which would appear to be dummy data such as 1st January 1900. | The approach taken may vary as follows: Where there is a high degree of certainty that the member has died, a copy of the death certificate can be requested from the GRO Where the death is less certain then a first step may be to issue a verification letter to the last known address There are other options that could be considered for example if there is a pensioner or retired employee association they |
| | | | | may be able to provide support. |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems and how to spot them | Possible data cleanse solutions | Ongoing maintenance |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Benefit Crystallisation Event (BCE) details | Members use their BCE information to manage their position in relation to the Lifetime Allowance. There are also legislative requirements in relation to providing members with BCE information. | BCE data should only exist for members who retired or died on or after 06 April 2006. | A report should be run to check that the records for all members who retired or died on or after the 06 April 2006 contain BCE information. | Both manual and automated routines should include the calculation, communication and updating of relevant BCE information. |
| | For example, for pensioners who retired on or after the 6th April 2006 there is a requirement to notify them of their BCE on an annual basis. | | | |

Data items specific to DB schemes

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems | Possible data cleanse | Ongoing maintenance |
|------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | | and how to spot them | solutions | |
| Normal Retirement Date | The date specified in the pension scheme rules at which a member would normally retire. There might be multiple dates if, as a consequence of equalisation different tranches of benefits have different normal retirement dates. | Normal Retirement Date may be held as a data item or derived from the member's date of birth and Normal Retirement Age (as defined in the scheme rules). Where it is held as a data item, checks should be carried out to ensure it is consistent with scheme rules, being mindful of recent changes that may have been introduced by age discrimination legislation. Particular care is needed where schemes have equalised retirement age resulting in some members having different tranches of pension payable (without reduction for early retirement) from different dates. However such members would generally have only a single Normal Retirement Date. | The member's Normal Retirement Age can be validated based on the scheme rules. A one off exercise should be undertaken to ensure the administrator understands the correct normal retirement dates and the records hold the correct dates. It is normally possible to identify members where different dates apply due to category code and it is then good practice to ensure these are being correctly applied as part of any calculation routines. Any discrepancies identified should be investigated and, if necessary, benefit rectification exercises will need to be undertaken. | As the majority of DB schemes are now closed to new entrants, once this exercise has been completed once it is unlikely it will need to be done again unless day to day processing highlights any issues. |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems | Possible data cleanse | Ongoing maintenance |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | and how to spot them | solutions | |
| AVC indicator | Set this flag to true if there are AVCs. AVC's form part of a member's overall benefit entitlement for the Scheme, and it is important they are considered in any form of communication, and accounted for in any benefit related event (Retirement, Death, TV out). | AVC's could be ignored in certain transactions (i.e TV out) leaving the AVC isolated. The value of the AVC may then inadvertently limit the options available to the member. In addition, some members may benefit from taking the AVC pot as cash on retirement if commutation factors are penal. If the AVC policy is held separate from the main Scheme benefit, member communications could ignore the AVC pot, and statutory DC illustrations may not be issued. As AVC's essentially represent the member's own money, but are often an afterthought in trustees' priorities when it comes to governance and data cleansing, TPR want trustees to focus on AVC governance with the same importance that they give to a member's primary benefit in the | Undertake a full reconciliation of the AVC records held by the AVC provider against all records where an AVC indicator is set. Update the indicator where absent, and ensure an AVC flag message appears on any event process. | Ensure an ongoing reconciliation of AVC policies is undertaken when DC illustrations are issued each year. |
| | | scheme. | | |
| | AVC's form part of the members overall benefit | For the majority of DB | Undertake a full | Ensure an ongoing |
| AVC record | entitlement from a Scheme, | Schemes the AVC policy is held separately so it is | reconciliation of the AVC records held by the AVC | reconciliation of AVC policies is undertaken when DC |
| | and it is important they are | important the indicator is | provider against all records | is andercaren when be |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems | Possible data cleanse | Ongoing maintenance |
|---------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | | and how to spot them | solutions | |
| | considered in any form of communication, and accounted for in any benefit related event (Retirement, Death, TV out). | present (see above). If the Scheme has a DC section and the AVC is invested within the DC Scheme then the data requirements for the AVC record will mirror that as required for a DC record (see below). | where an AVC indicator is set. Update the indicator where absent, and ensure an AVC flag message appears on any event process. | illustrations are issued each year. |
| | A transfer in can represent an important and sometimes substantial element of a members pension. This could have arisen from an individual transfer or as part of a bulk transfer, for example, due to corporate activity. | Transfers in can take a number of forms including: additional years and months of pensionable service an additional fixed pension payable from a specific age | Spotting issues with individual transfers in can be difficult. A basic check it to run reports for all members with transfers in to check for completeness of the data. | The majority of DB schemes are now closed to future accrual and so do not accept transfers in. Even those open tend not to offer this option to members. Transfers in to DC arrangements should be |
| Transfer-in record | activity. | a money purchase fund, perhaps invested with an AVC provider | Checking data for bulk transfers in can be more straightforward, for example, it may be all | identified as such and any data issues should be identified and addressed as part of the DC reconciliation |
| | | When calculating the member's entitlement under the scheme it is important to check any calculations reflect the correct transfer in benefit and it has taken into account any variations in terms or payment date | members within a specific category should have a transfer in record and that some features of the additional benefit, for example, the date at which it is payable should be the same for all members. | process. |
| Augmentation record | Individual augmentations are important as they form a part of a member's benefit. | A common problem with augmentations is that, because they are member specific the information is | Ideally details of augmentations should be held in a field on the member's record to ensure | Good practice is to record details of any augmentations on the member's record so where these may be awarded |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems | Possible data cleanse | Ongoing maintenance |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | and how to spot them | solutions | |
| | | often recorded on a free format memo or notes screen. | these are not missed when a member's benefits are put into payment. | it is good practice to create a field or fields to hold this data. |
| | | | One option is to run a report of all the information held on any free format screen and review the feasibility of either adding this to the member's record or adding an indicator so it is clear there are benefits due in addition to those specified under the scheme rules. | |
| | | | There may be discrete groups of members for whom an augmentation is always applied and good practice would be to run a report for these populations to check the records do include details of the additional benefits payable. | |
| Preserved pension splits | Correct and complete preserved pension splits are required to: • Allow the calculation of full pension splits at retirement. | A common data failing is to only record the pension splits required for the processing of retirement benefits and transfers-out. However, a more complete preserved pension record allows the trustees to access additional | A benefit re-tranche exercise would be required to re-tranche the preserved pension to record all applicable pension tranches. | Retirement processing should be amended so all applicable pension splits are recorded at leaving, even where these are not required for day-to- day administration purposes. |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems | Possible data cleanse | Ongoing maintenance |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | and how to spot them | solutions | |
| | Enable pension increase exchange as an option at retirement. Allow schemes to access best pricing for liability management exercises Ensure transfer calculations are accurate Ensure scheme valuations are accurate | operation and liability management options. | | |
| Pension in payment splits | Correct and complete pension splits are required to: • Allow trustees to award discretionary increases on excess pension accrued prior to 6/4/1997 • Enable pension increase exchange exercises • Allow schemes to access best pricing for liability management exercises • Ensure scheme valuations are accurate | A common data failing for pension schemes is to record the pension splits required for day-to-day administration (including pension increases) but to not record the additional pension splits required for pension increase exchanges and other forms of liability management. An example might be where the trustees have always awarded discretionary increases for pre-97 excess pension matching the post-97 increases. As a result it is not deemed necessary to record pre/post-97 pension splits. However, this prevents the trustees from taking up certain operational and | A benefit re-tranche exercise would be required to retranche the pension currently in payment to record all applicable pension tranches. | Retirement processing and pension increase process should be amendment so all applicable pension splits are recorded, even where these are not required for day-to-day administration purposes. |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems | Possible data cleanse | Ongoing maintenance |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | and how to spot them | solutions | |
| | | liability management options. | | |
| Dependant to member links | Establishing a link between the deceased member and dependant(s) is necessary for GMP reconciliation/GMP rectification and for any audit of the benefits paid to the dependant. Multiple links will be required where there is more than one dependant (for instance a surviving spouse and one or more surviving child pensioners). | Where the death occurred some time ago then the deceased member record may have been deleted. For other cases the link between the records may have been deleted. | between deceased member and dependant pensioner can be done through examining | A link between the deceased member record and their dependant pensioners should be created as part of the processing of death benefits. |

Data items specific to DC schemes

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems | Possible data cleanse | Ongoing maintenance |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | and how to spot them | solutions | |
| Date of Last Payment | It is important to review the date of the last payment as it may indicate if a member has left the scheme and no notification has been received of a date of leaving. | If a contribution has not been received as part of a contribution cycle this can be an indicator that the member has left. This should be a check that forms part of the routine validations that are run as part of each contribution cycle. Any warnings or errors relating to this check should be investigated. | As indicated, this would normally form part of the monthly contribution cycle but it is also good practice to review contribution histories once a year to ensure members are reflecting the correct status. | This should form part of the monthly contribution cycle. |
| Target or Selected Retirement Date | Target or Selected Retirement Age is the age the member nominated they intend to retire at. This is needed for SMPIs, issuing retirement packs and the implementation of any lifestyling investment strategies. | Data is present but not in a valid format Target date does not look reasonable e.g. less than current age. Potential spurious date such as 01/01/1900 suggesting target data not known. A member may have made multiple elections for their selected or target retirement age. | multiple elections for their selected or target retirement age. Where this is the case | |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems | Possible data cleanse | Ongoing maintenance |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | and how to spot them | solutions | |
| | | Target date is the default | | |
| | | date which may suggest | | |
| | | individual has not reviewed | | |
| | | the date. | | |
| | Each employee and employer | Duplicate contributions. | Reconcile contributions | Perform contribution |
| | contribution received should | | recorded with investment | monitoring checks on a |
| | be recorded. | Contributions received after | manager's records. | regular basis, such as each |
| Contribution Associate | | an individual leaves. | | time a contribution file is |
| Contribution Amounts | | | | received. |
| | | | | The amount received must be |
| | | | | checked against that |
| | | | | expected. |
| | A record of each how and | Transactions carried out | | Data is continually validated, |
| | when each contribution is | using incorrect unit price. | | investigated and updated |
| | invested. | Contributions not invested | | where it is possibly incorrect |
| | | in line with lifestyle | | e.g. |
| | | investment strategy or other | | |
| | | investment rules. | | • For an Active member |
| Contribution history | | Missing intervals or pay | | question when no |
| | | periods. | | contribution received in |
| | | Incorrectly allocating a single | | the last 45 days. |
| | | contribution as a regular one | | Investment date is |
| | | leading to an incorrect | | before the contribution |
| | | projection on a member's | | received date. |
| | | benefit statement. | | |
| | | More than one open | | Regularly validate as part of |
| | | contribution rate per | | say a monthly contribution |
| Contribution Rates | | contribution source on the | | monitoring routine. |
| Contribution Rates | | member's record. | | Validate annually, ideally at |
| | | | | the time of the annual |
| | | | | renewal in order to ensure |
| | | | | that future contributions are |

| Data Item | Why is this important? | Some common problems | Possible data cleanse | Ongoing maintenance |
|-------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| | | and how to spot them | solutions | |
| | | | | correctly projected on the |
| Default Lifestyle | Identify whether member has a lifestyle investment strategy or similar phased investment pattern to ensure contributions are invested correctly. | Contributions not invested in line with lifestyle investment strategy. | Check the member's investments correctly reflect the point reached by that member within the lifestyle formula. | member benefit statement. |
| Fund Choices | Member's fund choices must be clearly recorded to ensure contributions are invested correctly. | Individuals' investment rules not totaling 100% Individuals' investment allocations in a closed or defunct fund. | Check the sum of the percentages allocated to each investment type is 100%. | |

4. Impact assessment

The example dashboard below is designed to help you focus on the impact of data on achieving your objectives. As a first step you might want to ask your administrator to review the various areas and ask them to add any further known issues that they are already aware of.

| Data Quality Dimension | Measure | Known issues | Target | Current position | RAG Indicator |
|---------------------------|--|--------------|--------|------------------|------------------|
| | Common Data | | | | |
| Compliance | Scheme Specific Data | | | | |
| Compliance | Scheme Return | | | | |
| | Dashboard Ready Data | | | | |
| Member | Access to online functionality | | | | |
| engagement | Understanding of member contact preferences and the ability to | | | | |
| ggee | communicate and seek feedback electronically | | | | |
| | Ability to correctly calculate benefits and/or ensure that these are | | | | |
| | paid to a member or dependant at the right time | | | | |
| Usability | Extent to which the efficient and effective processing of a benefits | | | | |
| | is being achieved | | | | |
| | Extent to which data is held electronically and can therefore be | | | | |
| | used in bulk and automated routines | | | | |
| | Completeness of data which is deemed mandatory for all members | | | | |
| | or discreet groups of members | | | | |
| Governance | All data items which have the potential to materially impact on | | | | |
| | valuation or other funding outcomes are corrected. | | | | |
| | Adherence to tPR code on DC Governance | | | | |
| Strategic | No data issues which will prevent the employer or trustees | | | | |
| importance | achieving future strategic initiatives such as de-risking | | | | |

Appendix A - Case Study

The Trustees of the Open Window Glazing Company have a trust based DB scheme now closed to both new entrants and future accrual. All eligible employees are now auto-enrolled in the Ash mastertrust. The DB scheme is therefore comprised of deferred and pensioner members and the mastertrust active and deferred members. There are some members with benefits in both arrangements

The Trustees asked their administrator to run a common data report for the DB scheme. This has identified they do not have valid addresses for 2 pensioners whose payslips had been returned and 321 deferred members. The number of deferred members without a valid address has raised a concern and so they have asked Ash to run a similar report for their deferred population in the DC scheme. They have not included the active members as all communication with them is via the employer and there is a process in place to include the member address on the interface at the point when someone leaves Open Window.

The results of running the common data report for the deferred DC members highlights a further 173 members have 'gone away' on the member record indicating, at some point in the past, a communication has been returned.

Recognising that, in order to engage with members on their pension savings, settle benefits as and when they fall due and comply with TPR guidance on record keeping, Open Window and the Trustees identify they need to take some action and agree to submit all the records for the DB and DC 'gone away' deferred members for address tracing. The 2 pensioners are managed separately as there is an immediate fraud risk in relation to these two records.

There is, of course, the possibility these deferred members may be deceased so the address tracing exercise also includes a mortality screen.

Of the 494 records the screening provides the following results. The company and Trustees discuss these and their proposed next steps are shown in the table below alongside the results.

| Number of members | Outcome | Agreed next steps | Rationale for the decisions |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 14 | High probability the member has died | To obtain a copy of the death certificate from the General Records Office (GRO) | To ensure dependants receive any benefits due. These are all DB members so it also crystallises the liability within the scheme |
| 9 | Low probability the member has died | A verification letter will be sent to the address identified as part of the tracing exercise asking the member to verify their details | Sending a verification letter tends to be a lower cost way forward and, at this stage, there is nothing to suggest this member is definitely deceased |
| 370 | A new address has been traced for approximately 75% of those in scope. Where a complete data file, including last known address is available, this is indicative of the success rate that can be achieved. | The results indicate the level of certainty A verification letter will be sent to the address identified as part of the tracing exercise asking the member to verify their details. Some tracing providers provide the facility for the verification to be completed via a web portal. As part of the verification process the Trustees also ask for a personal email address as an alternative means of keeping in contact with the member going forward. | To hold confirmed addresses for members and avoid sending personal information to incorrect recipients, to keep members informed and engaged about their pension savings and settle benefits as and when they fall due |
| 11 | Were found to be living as stated at the last known address on the administration system and therefore previous post must have been returned in error | Reinstate the last known address on the administration system | This is a genuine record keeping error so no further action is required other than to correct the record |
| 90 | Bulk tracing has not returned a new address | The Trustees need to decide if they wish to proceed with the next level of tracing which would represent an individual trace | Having reviewed the data the Trustees decide to undertake the individual trace for the 36 members who are age 53 or over and to implement an annual process to undertake an individual trace for the remaining 54 members in the year in which they attain age 53 assuming |

| | that new address details have not been received by then. |
|--|--|
| | Another consideration in prioritising when to do an individual trace is to consider the value of the pension e.g. the trustees could decide to trace members who are aged under 53 where their monthly pension entitlement is £100 or above. |

In addition to the actions outlined above the Trustees also undertake the following:

- For any members where an address found and verified, and who have already passed their normal retirement age the Trustees write to them with details of their benefits asking if they would like these to be put into payment. Prior to doing so however they check the rules to clarify whether these permit late retirement from deferred status and how any benefits due should be calculated.
- A flag is added to the record of any members past normal retirement age and where an individual trace has been completed and no address identified to exclude them from future tracing activities

The actions taken by individual schemes in these circumstances will vary and the above is for illustrative purposes only.





THE PENSIONS ADMINISTRATION STANDARDS ASSOCIATION

Get in touch:

info@pasa-uk.com

www.pasa-uk.com